## TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

Town rianning Ref. No.	4/0175/77
mer. Ivo	कर्मपुर के संद्रुष्टकारक के क्यूकर के मून कर्मपुर के क
Other	
Ref. No.	

			TIBLE AND ENGINE AND THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTR
THE	DISTRICT COUNCIL OF	Dacolem	
JN 7	THÊ COUNTY OF HERTFORD	):	
			ត្រីពិធីពេក្រីដូវតីពក្រស់ព្រឹក្សាក្រស់ព័ត្តក្រីពីកក្កកក់ស្ពិក្សាក្រុ
То	Long Lyntors	A Marie Company of the Company of th	
			, I ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ",
	Cherencya. Aring, Herts.		
	1		and the first of the second of
<u> </u>	The No. Are decrey dear i	atensions.	• VI
at	16 & 48 Tring doad, dilet	one dr. Tring, Herte	and location
	में कार्की के के के के के किसके कार्किया करियों का कार्किया की किसके कार्किया करियों का किसके कार्किया कार्किय 	தத்து நாக் இசிழ்தாக கத்தத் இந்துக்	of proposed development.
	•		
		g Sag. , and	oposed by you in your application dated received with sufficient particulars on shown on the plan(s) accompanying such
	h February 1977 ation.	ം കുടുത്തെ പാരുള്ള ഒരു കടുതും ചാ <b>and</b> is	<i>i</i> *
applic	ation.		
applic	ation	use permission for the develop	ment are:
applic	ation.  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed oxtensions  the proposed oxtensions  the proposed oxtensions	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c oining property and	ment are:
applic	ation  asons for the Council's decision to reference proposal represents  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the encities of the sall	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c joining property and glight to both ground the considered to be	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in particular could result a fluor and first floor room visually detrimental to the
applic The re	ation  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the proposed of the sid	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c joining property and glight to both ground the considered to be	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in particular could result a fluor and first floor room visually detrimental to the
applic The re	ation  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the proposed of the sid	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c joining property and glight to both ground the considered to be	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in particular could result a fluor and first floor room visually detrimental to the
applic	ation  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the proposed of the sid	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c joining property and glight to both ground the considered to be	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in particular could result a fluor and first floor room visually detrimental to the
applic	ation  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the proposed of the sid	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c joining property and glight to both ground the considered to be	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in particular could result a fluor and first floor room visually detrimental to the
applic	ation  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the proposed of the sid	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c joining property and glight to both ground the considered to be	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in particular could result a fluor and first floor room visually detrimental to the
applic	ation  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the proposed of the sid	over-development of could give rise to coloing property and ylight to both prove is considered to be of the Conservation	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in portional could result detrimental to the vicually detrimental to the lires of bilotese.
applic	ation  asons for the Council's decision to ref  The proposal represents  The proposed extensions  the proposed extensions  the proposed of the sid	use permission for the develop over-development of would give rise to c joining property and glight to both ground the considered to be	the pite.  conditions detrimented to in particular could result a fluor and first floor room visually detrimental to the

Designation Director of Zechnical Survices

## **NOTE**

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this decision it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- (2) If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with section 36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Whitehall, London, S.W.1.) The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the District Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.