TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

Town Planning Ref. No	4/0179/82	•
Other Ref. No		

	Ref. No
	<u></u>
THE DISTRICT COUNCIL OF PAGGRAM	
IN THE COUNTY OF HERTFORD	
•	·
•	
To Mr and Mrs Benson Ager	nt: L Butler rsq
76 High Street	'Fullibrook'
Markyate	Higher Rads End
Herts	Eversholt
	Beds
Change of use from residential to office	ce
	Brief
at 76 High Street, Markyate.	and location
	of proposed development.
being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development	
being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the developm 2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application.	and received with sufficient particulars on
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application.	and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the Policy 53 of the Pacorum District Plan sement, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town centers.	and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such development are:— eeks to restrict office develop- ng buildings to offices, to the
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the Policy 53 of the Dacorum District Plan sement, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town cents sted and Tring. 2. The proposal would result in the loss of still capable of satisfactory residential capable of satisfactory residential.	and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such development are:— eeks to restrict office development buildings to offices, to the tres of fremel hempstead, perkhamtwo residential units which are luse and would be contrary to the
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the ment, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town cent sted and Tring. 2. The proposal would result in the loss of still capable of satisfactory residential provisions of Policy 56 of the Dacorum District Plan sequence.	and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such development are:— eeks to restrict office development buildings to offices, to the tres of fremel frempstead, werkhamtwo residential units which are I use and would be contrary to thistrict Plan. cle parking within the site to me
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the Policy 53 of the Pacorum District Plan sement, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town cents sted and Tring. 2. The proposal would result in the loss of still capable of satisfactory residential provisions of Policy 56 of the Pacorum Distriction for vehice the standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted standar	and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such development are:— eeks to restrict office development buildings to offices, to the tres of fremel frempstead, werkhamtwo residential units which are I use and would be contrary to thistrict Plan. cle parking within the site to me
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the Policy 53 of the Dacorum District Plan sement, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town cents sted and Tring. 2. The proposal would result in the loss of still capable of satisfactory residential provisions of Policy 56 of the Dacorum Distriction for vehice the standards adopted by the local planning.	and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such development are:— eeks to restrict office development buildings to offices, to the tres of fremel frempstead, werkhamtwo residential units which are I use and would be contrary to thistrict Plan. cle parking within the site to me
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the Policy 53 of the Dacorum District Plan sement, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town cents sted and Tring. 2. The proposal would result in the loss of still capable of satisfactory residential provisions of Policy 56 of the Dacorum Distriction for vehice the standards adopted by the local planning.	and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such development are:— eeks to restrict office development buildings to offices, to the tres of fremel frempstead, werkhamtwo residential units which are I use and would be contrary to this trict Plan. cle parking within the site to me
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the Policy 53 of the Dacorum District Plan sement, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town cents sted and Tring. 2. The proposal would result in the loss of still capable of satisfactory residential provisions of Policy 56 of the Dacorum District Plan. 3. There is insufficient provision for vehice the standards adopted by the local planning Dacorum District Plan.	development are:— eeks to restrict office develop- ng buildings to offices, to the tres of remel hempstead, perkham- two residential units which are l use and would be contrary to th istrict Plan. cle parking within the site to me ing authority and stated in the
2 February 1982 23 February 1982 application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the Policy 53 of the Dacorum District Plan sement, including changes of use of existing defined commercial areas in the town cents sted and Tring. 2. The proposal would result in the loss of still capable of satisfactory residential provisions of Policy 56 of the Dacorum Distriction for vehice the standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted standards adopted by the local planning standards adopted standar	development are:— eeks to restrict office develop- ng buildings to offices, to the tres of remel hempstead, perkham- two residential units which are l use and would be contrary to th istrict Plan. cle parking within the site to me ing authority and stated in the

Chief Planning Officer

NOTE

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this decision it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with section 36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Whitehall, London, S.W.1.) The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the District Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.