## TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

THE	DISTRICT COUNCIL OF	DACORUM	*********	
IN T	THE COUNTY OF HERTFORD			
			·	
	•			
To	G. A. B. ward Esq., Fairacres,	Messrs. Aitchisons, 63 Marlowes,	·	•
10	Felden Lane,	Hemel Hempstead.		
	Hemel Hempstead, Herts.	Herts.	•	
			•	
		•		
			•	
<i>.</i>	Two dwellings - outline,		<i>2</i>	•
• • • •			Brief	
at	r/o Fairacres,		description and location	
	Felden Lane, Hemel Hempstead	d, Herts.	of proposed	•
			development.	
peing	In pursuance of their powers under the about in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuered 1982.  24th February 1982.	use the development proposed by you in and received with s	n your application sufficient particula	dated rs on
being	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982	use the development proposed by you i	n your application sufficient particula	dated rs on
being	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd Lebruary 1982 24th February 1982	use the development proposed by you in and received with s	n your application sufficient particula	dated rs on
being	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd Lebruary 1982 24th February 1982	use the development proposed by you in and received with s	n your application sufficient particula	dated rs on
being application	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation.	use the development proposed by you in and received with some and shown on the plant are:	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying	dated rs on such
he rea	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd Lebruary 1982 24th February 1982 ation.	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	such siting, f develor ng author
application ayou ent	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	siting, f develor ng author
application ayou ent	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	siting, f develor ng author
application ayou ent	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	siting, f develop ng author
he rea	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	such siting, f develor ng author
he rea	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	such siting, f develor ng author
application ayou ent	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	siting, f develor ng author
application ayou ent	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	mission for the development are:  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	such siting, f develor ng author
being applic The rea ayou ent t wo evel	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd rebruary 1982 24th February 1982 cation.  asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to devel copment of land to the south.	mission for the development are:—  on this site would, in reclings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the elop the site for one dwell.	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	siting, f develor ng author
application applic	in force thereunder, the Council hereby refu 23rd February 1982 24th February 1982 cation. asons for the Council's decision to refuse per The erection of two dwellings at and aspect of adjacent dwell in terms of amenity and privace ould be more beneficial to deve	and received with some same and shown on the plant on this site would, in relings, lead to an unsatisfacy. In the opinion of the elop the site for one dwell	n your application sufficient particula in(s) accompanying lation to the actory form of local planni	siting, f develor ng author nction w

## NOTE

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this decision it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with section 36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Whitehall, London, S.W.1.) The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the District Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.