C/1384/JKS/P

## Department of the Environment and **Department of Transport**

Common Services

Room 1420

Tollgate House Houlton Street Bristol BS2 9DJ

Direct line 0272-218 927

Switchboard 0272-218811 GTN 2074

14881

File Ref. COUNCIL : 2 9 to 42790 Admin

Confirmed with DOE

30/12/85 GH

**這ODEC 1985** 

\* EXECUTIVE

UFFICER

Mr A King BA (Hons) BPl MRTPI Wetherby House The Hemmings Shootersway BERKHAMSTED Herts

ය J JEC 1985 Receivour re Commonting ference

T/APP/A1910/A/85/036899/F4 Date

Sir

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1971, SECTION 36 AND SCHEDULE 9 APPEAL BY MR C JAMES APPLICATION NO:- 4/0415/85

As you know I have been appointed by the Secretary of State for the Environment to determine the above mentioned appeal against the decision of Dacorum Borough Council to refuse planning permission for change of use of amenity land to residential garden adjacent to 44 Northend, Hemel Hempstead. I have considered the written representations made by you and by the council. I inspected the site on 15 November 1985.

- Having read the representations and viewed the site and the estate in which it is situated, I consider that the main issue in this appeal is whether the proposed enlargement of a domestic garden at the expense of public amenity ground would unacceptably harm the character of the locality.
- The estate for which Northend is the loop access road is a mixture of 3-storey blocks of flats and 2-storey houses in short terraces. The large areas of grass with trees and some shrubs surrounding the blocks of flats are in my view essential to the setting of these buildings, which have no private gardens. Smaller patches of public amenity space, such as that affected by the appeal proposal, do not seem to me to be so important in the settings of the houses with gardens. This is partly because many of these gardens provide more interest for the passer-by in their more varied planting than do the public spaces which mostly offer little more than grass; and partly because the public areas, though not lacking normal maintenance, seem more liable to collect litter than are the private gardens.
- I agree with you that the back garden of 44 Northend is so small as to be of little benefit to the occupants; whereas the open space onto which it is proposed to extend the garden is in my judgement disproportionately large in relation to the neighbouring small houses and gardens. It is uniformly of grass without any planting of trees or bushes, and thus not only lacks visual interest but exposes the featureless gable and garden walls of 44 Northend to view from the north. It has no apparent function other than the visual one of providing space between buildings, which could, in my opinion, be carried out equally well by a private garden. I find the proposed garden extension over less than a quarter of the open space modest, and consider that if it were bounded by a robust dark stained fence and furnished with shrubs and small-growing trees appropriate to the soil and the restricted space, it would contribute more to the appearance and character of the estate than the ground does in its present condition. Since any future applications for extensions of end-of-terrace gardens onto amenity spaces in the estate could be assessed according to the individual circumstances of their sites, I do not regard permission for the present proposal as setting a precedent which threatens the estate's character.

- 5. I have taken account of all other matters raised in representations, including the petition to the Council from 10 residents living nearby, but they do not affect my conclusions on the planning considerations which have led to my decision.
- 6. For the above reasons, and in exercise of powers transferred to me, I hereby allow this appeal and grant planning permission for change of use of amenity land to residential garden adjacent to 44 Northend, Hemel Hempstead, in accordance with the terms of the application (No 4/0415/85) dated 10 April 1985, and the plans submitted therewith, subject to the following conditions:
  - 1. the development hereby permitted shall be begun not later than 5 years from the date of this letter;
  - 2. before development is commenced details of a boundary fence and of a planting scheme within the garden extension shall be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority.
- 7. Attention is drawn to the fact that an applicant for any consent, agreement or approval required by a condition of this permission has a statutory right of appeal to the Secretary of State if approval is refused or granted conditionally or if the authority fail to give notice of their decision within the prescribed period.
- 8. This letter does not convey any approval or consent which may be required under any enactment, byelaw, order or regulation other than Section 23 of the Town and Council Planning Act 1971; nor does it give any right of entry to land, not owned by the appellant, in order to implement the permission.

I am Sir Your obedient Servant

WIIH Patterson

W M H PATTERSON MA(Cantab) DipTP FRTPI Inspector

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

Town Planning								
Ref.	No.						.4/0415/85.	

## DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

Mr. Colin James To 44 Northend Hemel Hempstead Herts.

•••••	Change of use of amenity land to residential						
	garden						
	at 44 Northend, Hemel Hempstead, Herts.						

rief scription d location proposed velopment.

In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Act	s and the Orders and Regulations for the time
being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the developme	nt proposed by you in your application dated
10th April 1985	
	and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such
application.	•

The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:-

The proposed enclosure of this land and its use as residential garden would reduce the existing area of amenity green and have an adverse effect on the open character of the area.

Dated	<i>.</i>	16th	day of	May	19 .85
-------	----------	------	--------	-----	--------

Signed..

## NOTE

- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local 1. planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of (Appeals must be made on a form receipt of this notice. obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- Journal of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.