TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

	Town Planning Ref. No	4/0452/80	
l	Other		

,		
		<u>.</u>
	-	
THE DISTRICT COUNCIL OF	DACORUM	
IN THE COUNTY OF HERTFORD	***************************************	
	•	
R. Lowery, Esq.,		
To 10 Tile Kiln Lane,	·	
HEMEL HEMPSTEAD,	en de la companya de La companya de la co	
Herts.	•	
	• ,	
Change of use of land.	from amenity green to	garden :
		Brief
at rear .of .10 . Tile . Kiln .L	ane, Hemel Hempstead.	decorieties
		of proposed
		development.
		the Orders and Regulations for the time
being in force thereunder, the Council here		
		received with sufficient particulars on hown on the plan(s) accompanying such
application.		
		Company of the Company of the
The reasons for the Council's decision to ref		ment are: —
		d would reduce the existing
area of amenity green and hav	e an adverse effect	on the environment and
character of the area.		
	,	
		,

Dated 8th day of May, 19 80.

Signed

Designation Director of Technical Servi

NOTE

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this decision it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with section 36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Whitehall, London, S.W.1.) The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the District Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

Department of the Environment

Sir

Room 1209

Tollgate House Houlton Street Bristol BS2 9DJ ECHINICAL SERVICES DEPT. Direct line 0272-218 800 Telex 449321 NAME OF SECTION Switchboard 0272-218811 GTN 2074 **7** JAN 1981

Mr R Lowery 10 Tile Kiln Lane HEMEL HEMPSTEAD Herts

.- 6 JAN 1981

T/APP/5252/A/80/11639/G10

Our reference...

Date

ONG

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1971, SECTION 36 AND SCHEDULE 9 APPLICATION NO:- 4/0452/80

- I refer to your appeal, which I have been appointed to determine, against the decision of the Dacorum District Council to refuse planning permission for the change of use of land from amenity green to garden at the rear of 10 Tile Kiln Lane, Hemel Hempstead. I have considered the written representations made by you, by the Council and by the Leverstock Green Village Association and also those made by other interested persons. I inspected the site on 11 December 1980.
- In this appeal it is necessary to differentiate between the desirability, or 2. otherwise, of the sale of publicly-owned land to an individual and the purely planning aspects which arise when it is intended to develop such land. I am solely concerned with the latter aspects.
- In my opinion this case turns on whether there would be a significant loss of amenity to the public in general and the occupants of the houses in Belsize Close in particular, by way of loss of visual amenity, free space and privacy, by the enclosure of the land concerned. If it were to be allowed it would have the effect of swivelling the position of your rear fence, advancing it a few yards at the southern end and not at all at the northern end, in the process this would straighten and shorten the existing boundaries of the amenity land.
- The Council have argued that any encroachment however small represents an 4. undesirable intrusion and have also argued as has the Leverstock Green Village Association that it would establish a dangerous precedent for similar applications elsewhere in future. I concede that if the area concerned were large and prominent these arguments would have some force. The area in this case being such a small proportion of the amenity area available in the immediate vicinity, being so far off the beaten track and the boundary being overly irregular, there will not be, in my opinion, any significant loss of amenity, in particular I think there will be no loss of visual amenity or privacy to any of the inhabitants of the houses most closely concerned and very little loss of free space; there will be a small advantage due to the straightening of the boundary. For the same reasons, I think that approval would not result in a valid precedent for any future applicants wishing to encroach on amenity areas, since the combination of circumstances in this case must be unique.
- For the above reasons, and in exercise of the powers transferred to me, I hereby allow this appeal and grant planning permission for the change of use of land from amenity green to garden at the rear of 10 Tile Kiln Lane, Hemel Hempstead in accordance with the terms of the application (No 4/0452/80) dated 25 March 1980 and the plans

submitted therewith, subject to the condition that the development hereby permitted shall be begun not later than 5 years from the date of this letter.

6. This letter does not convey any approval or consent which may be required under any enactment, byelaw, order or regulation other than Section 23 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

I am Sir Your obedient Servant

M.c. Evelegh.

M C EVELECH Inspector