



TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

Application Ref. No. 4/0641/93

Mr & Mrs M W Parrott
117 Argyll Road
Grovehill
Hemel Hempstead
Herts

DEVELOPMENT ADDRESS AND DESCRIPTION
=====

117 Argyll Road, Hemel Hempstead, Herts

TWO STOREY SIDE EXTENSION

Your application for *full planning permission (householder)* dated 04.05.1993 and received on 05.05.1993 has been *GRANTED*, subject to any conditions set out on the attached sheet(s).

Director of Planning.

Date of Decision: 29.06.1993

(encs. - Conditions and Notes).



CONDITIONS APPLICABLE
TO APPLICATION: 4/0641/93

Date of Decision: 29.06.1993

1. The development to which this permission relates shall be begun within a period of five years commencing on the date of this notice.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of s.91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The materials used externally shall match both in colour and texture those on the existing building of which this development shall form a part.

Reason: To safeguard the appearance of the street scene.

3. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning General Development Order 1988 or any amendment thereto, there shall be no alterations or additions to either the front elevation of the existing garage or the north eastern flank wall of the extension hereby permitted without the express written permission of the local planning authority.

Reason: To enable the local planning authority to retain control over further development in the interests of; a) Ensuring there is adequate curtilage parking to serve the extended dwellinghouse in accordance with the Council's parking standards, b) To safeguard the residential amenity of nearby dwellinghouses.

4. The extended dwellinghouse shall be served by 3 parking spaces located within the part of the curtilage coloured yellow on Plan No. 4/0641/93.

Reason: To ensure that the extended dwellinghouse is served by three parking spaces within its curtilage to accord with the Council's parking standards. The use of the rear garden for parking would be unacceptable.