TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972



DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

To	Mr I H Shah
	3 Candlefield Road
	Hemel Hempstead
	Harts

Mr J G Lewis 117 Chambersbury Lane Hemel Hempstead Herts

Single storey rear extension and conversion of	
dwelling to form two flats	
at 3 Candlefield Road, Bennetts End, Hemel Hempstead	
,	

scription location proposed velopment.

In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Act	s and the Orders and Regulations for the time
being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the developme	nt proposed by you in your application dated
12 April 1988 13 April 1988	and received with sufficient particulars on
12 Ah.11 1300	and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such
application.	•

The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:-

There is inadequate provision for vehicle parking within the site to meet standards adopted by the local planning authority.

Dated	June	19 88
-------	------	-------

Signed.....

NOTE

- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local 1. planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of (Appeals must be made on a form receipt of this notice. obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- Journal of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.



4/0669/88. SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION AND CONVERSION OF DWELLING TO FORM TWO FLATS.
3 CANDLEFIELD ROAD, BENNETTS END, HEMEL HEMPSTEAD.
APPLICANT: MR I H SHAH

DESCRIPTION - The property is a terraced house which overlooks a large communal amenity green to its front. The area is generally quiet, but with a high degree of on-street parking. The proposal involves constructing a single storey extension some 5.2 m long to the rear to give extra living space for the proposed ground floor flat. The first floor of the property is to be utilised as a separate flat. Two doors are to be installed in the front elevation to give an independent access to each flat. The car parking spaces are provided in the front garden.

POLICIES

Hertfordshire County Structure Plan

No relevant Policies

Dacorum District Plan

Policies 18, 19, 62 and 66

REPRESENTATIONS

Director of Housing and Health

Recommends that a sound insulation scheme between ground and first floors be implemented prior to the occupation of the flats, should the Committee be minded to grant permission.

CONSIDERATIONS - The plans as submitted show one bedroom per flat. However, for the purposes of calculating the car parking requirement, I consider that the 'spare room' on the first floor should be viewed as potential bedroom space. The overall requirement, including visitors, will therefore be 3 spaces, giving a shortfall of 1 space.

Whist I have no great objections to the proposed conversion and the rear extension in principle, I consider that the shortfall in parking within the site is sufficient to warrant refusal in this case.

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> - That planning permission be <u>REFUSED</u> (on form DC4) for the following reason:

There is inadequate provision for vehicle parking within the site to meet standards adopted by the local planning authority.

*