TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

Town Planning Ref. No	4/0683/81	• • • • • • • •
Other Ref. No		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

THE DISTRIC	CT COUNCIL OF	DACORUM	
IN THE COL	INTY OF HERTFORD	)	
	,		
D. A. b	Walker, Esq.,		,
251 Uxb	ridge Road,	÷	
PILLL EA	id, ISWORTH,		•
Herts.	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
Tiont 1	Industrial Building		
	TITUE OF YOUR PORTURAL	3(Outline)	
		The same of the same	Brief description
	Bourne End Mill,	Bourne and Lane,	and location of proposed
nemet t	Tempstead.		development.
12th	May, 1981,	eby refuse the development proposed by you in and received with some shown on the plar	ufficient particulars on n(s) accompanying such
		fuse permission for the development are:—	Sanan Dalla dan Aha
Approved Co District Pl of new buil or other es for partici	unty Structure Pla an wherein permiss dings, changes of sential purposes a patory sport or re	rea referred to as Metropolitan ( in (1979) and shown as such in the sion will only be given for use of use or extension of existing but appropriate to a rural area or statement. No such need has been expeaded in the terms of this pos-	ne deposited Dacorum of land, the construction ildings for agricultural mall scale facilities en proven and the
Dacorum Dis buildings w within an e area shown fall within	trict Plan which sill normally only existing industrial for industrial development of the aforem	is contrary to policy No. 48 or states that planning permission is be granted where the proposal is area as indicated on the "Proposals relopment on the "Proposals Map" mentioned industrial areas and is a constitute a consolidation and	for new industrial s located either osals Map" or in an The site does not t is considered that
Dated	18th	.day of វិឃ្គាំ <b>ខ</b>	19.81 /contd
	·	Signed	Barrand

Designation Chief Planning Officer.

## NOTE

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this decision it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with section 36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Whitehall, London, S.W.1.) The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the District Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

D. A. Walker, Esq., RICKMANSWORTH.

Reasons for refusal continued ....

industrial use which is seriously cut of place in a rural area and no adequate reason or purpose has been advanced sufficient to justify over-riding the strong presumption against any new development in this area over which Green Belt policies pertain.

- 3. The proposed development would be unduly prominent by reason of its size and location on the site.
- 4. The proposed development is excessive on a site which is inadequate to accommodate satisfactorily the proposal together with the necessary vehicle parking, loading/unloading facilities, manoeuvring and circulation facilities.
- 5. The increased traffic likely to be generated by the proposed development would be a potential hazard on adjacent highways which because of inadequate width and construction are unsuitable for such additional traffic.

Dated 18th day of June 1981.

Designation Chief Planning Officer