

MAB

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972



DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

To
 Craighill Developments Ltd
 c/o Savage & Partners
 35 Woodside Road
 Amersham
 Bucks

Detached building to form three no. 1 bedroom flats,
 provision of associated parking area and new vehicular
 access.
 at Wolds Cottage, Station Road, Tring.

Brief
 description
 and location
 of proposed
 development.

In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Acts and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated 29/05/1990 and received with sufficient particulars on 01/06/1990 and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such application.

The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:—

- 1) The site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt and Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty in the adopted Dacorum District Plan. Within the Green Belt permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need has been proven and the proposed development is unacceptable in the terms of this policy.
- 2) The proposed scheme involves a building larger and more bulky structure than the existing building on site, and introduces a parking area to the rear of the existing houses. The scheme will therefore detract from the character and appearance of this part of the Metropolitan Green Belt and Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Dated day of 19.....

Signed

Chief Planning Officer

SEE NOTES OVERLEAF

P/D. 15

NOTE

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of the date of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
3. In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in s.169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

DC.4 NOTES

Ref. No. 4/0817/90

- 3) The proposed parking area to the rear of existing houses will result in noise and disturbance to residents.
- 4) The proposed rear balcony will result in overlooking and loss of privacy to residents of adjacent properties.

Dated.....15.....day of.....August.....1990

Signed... 

Director of Planning