

## TOWN &amp; COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

THE DISTRICT COUNCIL OF DACORUM

IN THE COUNTY OF HERTFORD

To Mr. J. Clifton,  
127A London Road,  
Markyate

R.R. Rawlings,  
17 Tarnside Close  
Dunstable, Beds. LU6 3PY

.... Two houses, .....

.....

at .. land adjacent to 'Longview', Chapel Road, .....

... Flamstead. ....

Brief  
description  
and location  
of proposed  
development.

In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Acts and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated  
..... 26th June 1983 ..... and received with sufficient particulars on  
..... 29th June 1983 ..... and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such application..

The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:—

- 1) The site is within an area without notation on the Approved County Development Plan and in an area referred to as being within the extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt in the Approved County Structure Plan (1979) and the Dacorum District Plan, wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use or extension of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need has been proven and the proposed development is unacceptable in the terms of this policy.

.../continued

Dated ..... 11th ..... day of ... August ..... 19 83 ...

Signed.....

Chief Planning Officer

NOTE

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this decision it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- (2) If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with section 36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the District Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

4/0839/83

Reasons (cont'd)

- 2) Policies 4 and 5 of the deposited Dacorum District Plan seek to restrict development in certain villages, including Flamstead, within the Metropolitan Green Belt (as extended by the Approved County Structure Plan and shown on the District Plan Proposals Map) to that which is for an essential use appropriate to the rural area as set out in Policy 4. The proposed development has not been justified in terms of these policies.
- 3) The design and external appearance of the proposed dwellings are below the standard required for this site, which is prominent on the street frontage and adjoins the Flamstead Conservation Area, and would be detrimental to the visual amenities of the locality.

Dated ..... 11th ..... day of ..... August ..... 1983

Signed: .....  .....

Designation: CHIEF PLANNING OFFICER