TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

ŢĤ	E DISTRICT COUNCIL OF	DACORUM
JN.	THE COUNTY OF HERTFOR	<u>D:</u>
		Control of the Contro
To	Mr. J. M. Pritchard, 21 Parker Street,	MB to the control of
	WATFORD, Herts.	and the first of the control of the second section of the control
	Howard American States	and the second of the second o
1	Valva de la companya	Programme and the second secon
	Agricultural dwelling	
 	المتعارضة الكافر الجراب أنه العاطم العاص ما أما الحاج يتاعلها التجريعا والمتعارض ما الماسا	Brief
at .	Rear of 37 and 47 Watford	of proposed
<u> </u>		development.
	and the second of the second o	
	30th August, 1977.	retay refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated and received with sufficient particulars on and received with sufficient particulars on and shown on the plants) accompanying such
	ication	eder i vite tit i si'e reste i re i judgitaretter kan en stadten annatit ben i in a name.
The		fuse permission for the development are
The r	easons for the Council's decision to re The site is within an a Metropolitan Green Belt Authority not to allow	
The	easons for the Council's decision to re The site is within an a Metropolitan Green Belt Authority not to allow or other special purpos in this case. The proposal represents	fuse permission for the development are rea shown on the County Development Plan as where it is the policy of the Local Planning development unless it is required for agricultural
1.	easons for the Council's decision to re The site is within an a Metropolitan Green Belt Authority not to allow or other special purpos in this case. The proposal represents	rea shown on the County Development Plan as where it is the policy of the Local Planning development unless it is required for agricultural es - insufficient justification has been submitted "back land" development and whilst there is a
1.	easons for the Council's decision to re The site is within an a Metropolitan Green Belt Authority not to allow or other special purpos in this case. The proposal represents	rea shown on the County Development Plan as where it is the policy of the Local Planning development unless it is required for agricultural es - insufficient justification has been submitted "back land" development and whilst there is a
1.	easons for the Council's decision to re The site is within an a Metropolitan Green Belt Authority not to allow or other special purpos in this case. The proposal represents	rea shown on the County Development Plan as where it is the policy of the Local Planning development unless it is required for agricultural es - insufficient justification has been submitted "back land" development and whilst there is a
1.	easons for the Council's decision to re The site is within an a Metropolitan Green Belt Authority not to allow or other special purpos in this case. The proposal represents	rea shown on the County Development Plan as where it is the policy of the Local Planning development unless it is required for agricultural es - insufficient justification has been submitted "back land" development and whilst there is a

Designation Director of Technical Services.

NOTE

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this decision it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- (2) If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with section 36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Whitehall, London, S.W.1.) The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the District Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.