TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

Town Planning	
Ref. No	4/1579/88



DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

To M6 Diesel Services
Watling Street Filling Stn.
Watling Street (A5)
Flamstead Herts

McCarthy-Bainbridge Partnership Brook House South Park Road Wimbledon London SW19 8RR

At Watling Streeet Filling Station, Watling Street/ London Road, Flamstead, Herts In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Acts and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated 18.7.88. 17.8.88. 18.89. 19.88. And received with sufficient particulars on 17.8.88 and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The application involves alterations to the A5 trunk road and the site access, the responsibility of the Department of Transport. At this stage any proposal to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to alterations. 2. Part of this site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. Insufficient need has been proven to justify inclusion of land within the Metropolitan Green Belt within the development and the proposal is therefore unacceptable in the terms of this policy. Insufficient space has been indicated within this part of the application site to provide adequate screening and as a result such development would have an adverse impact upon the appearance of this part of the site.	Redevelopment of diesel refuelling centre	
In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Acts and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated		description
being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated 18.7.88	I am dan Dan di Pitamakaa di Hambu	of proposed
being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated 18.7.88	· ·	
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The application involves alterations to the A5 trunk road and the site access the responsibility of the Department of Transport. At this stage any proposals to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to further investigations. There can be no guarantee as to their final form and it and when they are carried out. The application is therefore premature to those alterations. 2. Part of this site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorur District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. Insufficient need has been proven to justify inclusion of land within the Metropolitan Green Belt within the development and the proposal is therefore unacceptable in the terms of this policy. Insufficient space has been indicated within this part of the application site to provide adequate screening and as a result such development		· ·
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The application involves alterations to the A5 trunk road and the site access the responsibility of the Department of Transport. At this stage any proposals to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to further investigations. There can be no guarantee as to their final form and it and when they are carried out. The application is therefore premature to those alterations. 2. Part of this site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. Insufficient need has been proven to justify inclusion of land within the Metropolitan Green Belt within the development and the proposal is therefore unacceptable in the terms of this policy. Insufficient space has been indicated within this part of the application site to provide adequate screening and as a result such development		-
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The application involves alterations to the A5 trunk road and the site access the responsibility of the Department of Transport. At this stage any proposals to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to further investigations. There can be no guarantee as to their final form and it and when they are carried out. The application is therefore premature to those alterations. 2. Part of this site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. Insufficient need has been proven to justify inclusion of land within the Metropolitan Green Belt within the development and the proposal is therefore unacceptable in the terms of this policy. Insufficient space has been indicated within this part of the application site to provide adequate screening and as a result such development.		
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The application involves alterations to the A5 trunk road and the site access the responsibility of the Department of Transport. At this stage any proposals to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to further investigations. There can be no guarantee as to their final form and it and when they are carried out. The application is therefore premature to those alterations. 2. Part of this site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. Insufficient need has been proven to justify inclusion of land within the Metropolitan Green Belt within the development and the proposal is therefore unacceptable in the terms of this policy. Insufficient space has been indicated within this part of the application site to provide adequate screening and as a result such development.	and shown on the plants and shown on the plants	n(s) accompanying such
the responsibility of the Department of Transport. At this stage any proposals to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalised and are subject to further investigations. There can be no guarantee as to their final form and is and when they are carried out. The application is therefore premature to those alterations. 2. Part of this site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. Insufficient need has been proven to justify inclusion of land within the Metropolitan Green Belt within the development and the proposal is therefore unacceptable in the terms of this policy. Insufficient space has been indicated within this part of the application site to provide adequate screening and as a result such development	application.	•
HOWIN HATE AN ANYOND THIPMOS APON ONE APPACIANTES OF SILES PART OF SILES	the responsibility of the Department of Transport. At the to alter the A5(T) and site access have not been finalise further investigations. There can be no guarantee as to and when they are carried out. The application is therefore alterations. 2. Part of this site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt District Plan wherein permission will only be given construction of new buildings, changes of use of eagricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. been proven to justify inclusion of land within the Mewithin the development and the proposal is therefore una of this policy. Insufficient space has been indicated wapplication site to provide adequate screening and as a supplication site to provide adequate screening and as a second content of the proposal is the proposal in the proposal is the policated wapplication site to provide adequate screening and as a second content to the proposal is the proposal in the proposal in the proposal is the proposal in the proposal in the proposal is the proposal in the proposal in the proposal in the proposal is the proposal in the p	is stage any proposals sed and are subject to their final form and it ore premature to those on the adopted Dacorum for use of land, the xisting buildings for a rural area or small Insufficient need has etropolitan Green Beliceptable in the terms ithin this part of the result such development

SEE NOTES OVERLEAF

Chief Planning Officer

NOTE

- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local 1. planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of (Appeals must be made on a form receipt of this notice. obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in s.169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.