То

SEE NOTES OVERLEAF

P/D.15

4/1654/89

Chief Planning Officer



DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

Mr C R and Mrs J F Howard Latimer House Gravel Path Berkhamsted Herts. HP4 2PY

William H Brown Ltd (Ray Fleet) 128 High Street Berkhamsted Herts. HP4 3AT

| Decac | hed dwelling | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | | | Brief |
| at 'Lati Hert | mer.House!,.Gravel Path,.Ber | V((dh) > h = h = | description and location of proposed development. |
| | •• | | |
| In pursuance of | of their powers under the above-mentioned | Acts and the Orders and Regu | lations for the time |
| | under, the Council hereby refuse the develo | | |
| application. | 4.0ctober 1989 9.0ctober 1989 | and received with suffice and shown on the plan(s) | cient particulars on accompanying such |
| The reasons for the C | ouncil's decision to refuse permission for th | on douglopmont are | |
| Notwithstandin vicinity of the by detached dw denth and widt | g the variable plot sizes of the application site, the over wellinghouses occupying relation, where the juxtaposition out. In contrast to this est | dwellinghouses loca all character of the ively large curtilag f units generally en | area is distinguishe es in terms of both sures an adequate |
| level of privathe accommodat | ion of the proposed dwelling the Council's standards for t | on this comparative | ly small plot would |
| level of privathe accommodate fail to meet to the devel | ion of the proposed dwelling | on this comparative he following raasons | :- |
| level of privathe accommodate fail to meet to the devel character. b. there wou position | ion of the proposed dwelling the Council's standards for to opment would be cramped bear | on this comparative he following reasons ing limited compatible ciated with the new tures main windows of | ility with the unit due to the n its south western |
| level of privathe accommodate fail to meet to the devel character. b. there wou position | ion of the proposed dwelling the Council's standards for to opment would be cramped bear of the locality; In the a lack of privacy assourced the councility; In the council the cramped bear of 'Latimer House' which fea | on this comparative he following reasons ing limited compatible ciated with the new tures main windows of | ility with the |
| level of privathe accommodate fail to meet to a. the devel character b. there wou position elevation | ion of the proposed dwelling the Council's standards for to opment would be cramped bear of the locality; In the a lack of privacy assourced the councility; In the council the cramped bear of 'Latimer House' which fea | on this comparative he following reasons ing limited compatible ciated with the new tures main windows of the compatible ciated with the new tures main windows of the compatible ciated with the new tures main windows of the compatible ciated with the new tures main windows of the comparative c | ility with the unit due to the n its south western |

NOTE

- 1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of the date of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in s.169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

Ref. 4/1654/89

- the gravel driveway shown on 1:200 layout plan does not C. accord with the standards specified in Hertfordshire County Council's publication "Residential Roads in Hertfordshire" in respect of the provision of a turning area which, in the interests of highway safety, is required in order to enable vehicles to leave the site in forward gear;
- enlargement of the gravel driveway referred to in c. above d. would result in the encroachment of land which currently lies outside the recognised residential curtilage of 'Latimer House'. This land is adopted highway verge which is not designed for the purposes of providing off-street parking;
- the hedge planting shown on the 1:200 layout plan would be e. located on the highway land referred to in d. above and its position is likely to be prejudicial to highway safety as once established the vegetation will gradually encroach upon the sight lines associated with the existing access serving 'Latimer House', 'Shelford' and the proposed access;

Sianed

lack of parking provision within the residential curtilage f. of the proposed dwelling.

12 January 1990 Dated

Designation Chief Planning Officer

- c. the gravel driveway shown on 1:200 layout plan does not accord with the standards specified in Hertfordshire County Council's publication "Residential Roads in Hertfordshire" in respect of the provision of a turning area which, in the interests of highway safety, is required in order to enable vehicles to layou the site in forward gear;
- d. enlargement of the gravel driveway referred to in c. above would result in the encroachment of land which currently lies outside the recognised residential curtilage of 'Latimer House'. This land is adopted highway verge which is not designed for the purposes of providing off-street parking:
- the hedge planting shown on the 1:200 layout plan would be located on the highway land referred to in d. above and its position is likely to be prejudicial to highway safety as once established the vegetation will gradually encroach upon the signilines associated with the existing access serving 'Latimer House', 'Shelford' and the proposed access;
 - f. lack of parking provision within the residential curvilage of the proposed dwelling.

Dated 12

12 January 1990

hong

Designation Chief Planning Officer