SEE NOTES OVERLEAF

P/D.15

Town Planning
Ref. No. 4/1922/88 . . .

Chief Planning Officer



DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

T	9		•
·	Mr J D Sharp Cress Farm Sharpes Lane Bourne End, Hemel Hempstead.	Pickworths 37 Marlowes Hemel Hempstead.	
at	Replacement of farmhouse, ne farm shop (outline). Sharpes Gress Farm, Sharpes Hemel Hempstead.	Lane, Bourne End,	Brief description and location of proposed development.
#4.# ###	In pursuance of their powers under the above in force thereunder, the Council hereby refused in 18.10.88	e the development proposed by you in a	your application dated fficient particulars on
The	reasons for the Council's decision to refuse perm	ission for the development are:—	
l.	The site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need has been proven and the proposed development is unacceptable in the		
2.	visibility is likely to give rise to conditions prejudicial to highway		
3.	The provision of a further acces replacement dwelling would be de	s from Sharpes Lane to ser trimental to highway safet	ve the proposed y.
	Dated FIFTEENTHday of .	DECEMBER /	19 88

NOTE

- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local 1. planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of (Appeals must be made on a form receipt of this notice. obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in s.169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> - That planning permission be <u>REFUSED</u> (on form DC4) for the following reasons:

- 1. The site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need has been proven and the proposed development is unacceptable in the terms of this policy.
- 2. The proposed farm shop building would have a seriously detrimental effect on an Area of Natural History Interest.
- 3.L. The increased use of the access, which is substandard in width and visibility is likely to give rise to conditions prejudicial to highway safety.

The innecessary provision of a further access to Sharpes lane to Some the proposed development (replacement during) Hondol be derrimented to highway Sagrety.