TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972

Town Planning 4/2021/88 Ref. No. .



DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

		· •	
То	J Smith Hillside the Ridings Markyate Herts	R Gold 33 Hunting Gate Hemel Hempstead Herts	?
	One dwelling (OUTLINE))	
ì	Adjacent.to.!Hillside!The Ridings, Markyate		Brief description and location of proposed development.
	g in force thereunder, the Council hereb	ne above-mentioned Acts and the Orders and by refuse the development proposed by you in the control with successive the place of the pl	n your application dated sufficient particulars or
The re	easons for the Council's decision to refu	se permission for the development are:—	
1.	on the adopted Dacorum Dis be given for use of land, changes of use of existing	area beyond the Metropolitan trict Plan wherein permission the construction of new build buildings for agricultural or iate to a rural area or small	will only ings, other

2. The proposal is not supported by evidence of local need sufficient to satisfy Policies 4 and 5 of the adopted Dacorum District Plan.

facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need

has been proven, and the proposed development is unacceptable in

Dated 12th day of January 19 89

Chief Planning Officer

the terms of this policy.

NOTE

- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local 1. planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of (Appeals must be made on a form receipt of this notice. obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
- In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in s.169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

(b) Consistent with the other policies of this plan....

Whilst this Council has not formally adopted Markyate as such a settlement in a revised local plan, Policy Committee have accepted the development of the former Nabisco site as a departure from the District Plan. Development Control Committee have subsequently followed this for minor schemes within the heart of the village.

Notwithstanding the above considerations, the application site is beyond the approved village core of Markyate. There are no proposals to extend the boundaries of Markyate in this direction, as the A5 forms a physical barrier for the limits of village development. As such, the restrictive rural area policies still apply. No justification has been submitted with the application to show why it should be treated as an exception to District Plan Policies 4 or 2. A similar application was refused by Development Control Committee on 30 April 1987 (4/0356/87).

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> - That planning permission be <u>REFUSED</u> (on form DC4) for the following reasons:

- 1. The site is within a rural area beyond the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for use of land, the construction of new buildings, changes of use of existing buildings for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need has been proven, and the proposed development is unacceptable in the terms of this policy.
- 2. The proposal is not supported by evidence of local need sufficient to satisfy Policies 4 and 5 of the adopted Dacorum District Plan.

*