

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS, 1971 and 1972



DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

To Mr and Mrs A Strange  
47 Singlets Lane  
Flamstead  
Herts

Clifford W & R C Shrimplin  
11 Cardiff Road  
Luton  
Beds. LU1 1PP

.....  
First Floor Rear Extension and Pitched Roof  
.....  
over Garage  
.....  
at 47 Singlets Lane  
.....  
Flamstead, Herts.  
.....

Brief  
description  
and location  
of proposed  
development.

In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Acts and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, the Council hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated 29.11.88 and received with sufficient particulars on 2.12.88 and shown on the plan(s) accompanying such application..

The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:-

The site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for development for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need has been proven and the proposed development by reason of its bulk, mass and prominence together with the cumulative increase in the amount of new building on this site is unacceptable.

Dated TWELFTH day of JANUARY 1989

Signed *[Signature]*

Chief Planning Officer

SEE NOTES OVERLEAF  
P/D. 15

#### NOTE

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment, in accordance with s.36 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, within six months of receipt of this notice. (Appeals must be made on a form obtainable from the Secretary of State for the Environment, Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol, BS2 9DJ). The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order, and to any directions given under the order.
2. If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Borough Council in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IX of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.
3. In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in s.169 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971.

that the proposed first floor extension does not add to the area of the site covered by buildings, as would be the case with a further side or rear extension at ground floor level. By comparison, therefore, the visual impact is lessened. Nevertheless, the prominence of the rear gables and the mass of roof behind them still remains, resulting in an obviously conspicuous development. In the case of the design with the hipped roofs and lower eaves, the bulk and visual impact of the development is clearly much less, to the point where it could be claimed that this design is not so injurious to visual amenity.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

4/2171/88

~~That planning permission be GRANTED (on form DC3) subject to the following conditions:~~

- ~~1. The development to which this permission relates shall be begun within a period of five years commencing on the date of this notice.~~
- ~~2. The materials used externally shall match both in colour and texture those on the existing building of which this development shall form a part.~~

4/2172/88

That planning permission be REFUSED (on form DC4) for the following reason:

The site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt on the adopted Dacorum District Plan wherein permission will only be given for development for agricultural or other essential purposes appropriate to a rural area or small scale facilities for participatory sport or recreation. No such need has been proven and the proposed development by reason of its bulk, mass and prominence together with the cumulative increase in the amount of new building on this site is unacceptable.

\* \* \*