H.C.C. Code No.	W/24/64
L.A. Ref. No	37/62/64

ADMINISTRATIVE COUNTY OF HERTFORD

The Council of the	Bonesenara
	URBAN DISTRICT OF TRING
	RUMADER STOP

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1962

To Mesers. V. Kent Enterprises Ltd., 77/80, Akeman Street, TRING, Herts.

C	hange	of	Use	at	30,	Akeman	Street,	Tring	
ſ	or st	orag							
*		7					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , ,	
								-/s/3	No.
						*************		HEILER HEILER	۰ - ۳۰

Brief description and location of proposed development.

In pursuance of their delegated powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated

30. 12. 63.

and received with sufficient particulars on

1. 1. 64.

and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application.

The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:—

- 1. The property is in an area which is zoned primarily for redidential use on the Approved Town Map and on the Review Town Map which has been submitted to the Minister.
- 2. The proposed use of the property would accentuate the shortage of dwelling accommodation in the Council's area.
- 3. Loading and unloading would obstruct the highway.

Dated	24 th	day of	January,	1	19	64.
				1		

Clerky Surveyor of the Council.

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this refusal it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- (2) If the Applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may by notice served within one month of receipt of this notice, appeal to the Minister of Housing and Local Government in accordance with Section 23 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1962. The Minister has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a Notice of Appeal and he will exercise his power in cases where he is satisfied that the applicant has deferred the giving of notice because negotiations with the local planning authority in regard to the proposed development are in progress. The Minister is not, however, required to entertain such an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the provision of Section 17(1), 18(1) and 38 of the Act and of the Development Order and to any directions given under the Order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Minister of Housing and Local Government, and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Council of the County District in which the land is situated a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with Section 129 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1962.
- (4) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority or the Minister of Housing and Local Government for compensation, where permission is refused, or granted subject to conditions by the Minister on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in Section 123 and Part VI of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1962.



MINISTRY OF HOUSING & LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Whitehall, LONDON, S.W.1

Telegrams: Locoplan, Parl, London

Telephone: VICtoria 8540

Please address any reply to

THE SECRETARY

and quote: APP/1743/A/80316, 82061 and 80317

Your reference: W/2694-63; 234-64 and 24-64

24 JAN 1965

Sir,

Appeals by Messrs. William Batey and Company (Exports) Limited and Messrs. V. Kent Enterprises Limited Applications Nos. 115/62/63, 22/62/64 and 37/62/64

I am directed by the Minister of Housing and Local Government to say that he has considered the report of his Inspector, Mr. L. T. B. Kealey, B.Eng.(L'Pool), A.M.T.P.I. who held a local inquiry into your clients' appeals against the refusals of Tring Urban District Council, acting on behalf of Hertfordshire County Council, to permit:-

- (i) the retention of a temporary storage building at the rear of Nos. 29/31 Akeman Street, Tring;
- (ii) the continuation of the use for the storage of teleprinter and telecommunication equipment of premises at Nos. 29, 31 and 32 Akeman Street, Tring.
- 2. The appeal in respect of application No. 37/62/64 was withdrawn at the inquiry, and the Minister has therefore confined his attention to the two remaining proposals.
- 3. The Inspector is of the opinion that Nos. 29, 31 and 32 Akeman Street are incapable of economic rehabilitation and that, pending recevelopment, their use as proposed by your clients is preferable to their becoming empty and derelict; the use for storage does not cause nuisance to neighbouring residents and the volume of traffic generated by the use is not likely to cause obstruction in Akeman Street to any significant degree. The Inspector considers that in view of the need for storage space pending the completion of the redevelopment of the Gaiety Cinema site and since redevelopment of Surrey Place is unlikely to take place within the next three years, the continued use of the premises for such a period is reasonable but the permission should inure for the benefit of your clients only. The Inspector recommends that the two appeals be allowed conditionally.
- 4. Whilst the Minister has no jurisdiction to determine the question formally in the context of these appeals, the argument put forward on behalf of your clients that no planning permission is required for the present use of Nos. 29/32 Akeman Street has bee considered. From the evidence given at the inquiry and the copy letter from Nuvec Products Limited sent by your clients on 8th October, it appears that the premises were previously used predominantly as a shop for the sale of provisions, within Class I of the schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1963. The present use for the storage of equipment in connection with your clients' business of reconditioning for sale second-hand teleprinter and telecommunication equipment at the Gaiety Cinema works is substantially different and falls within Class X of the Order. It is considered therefore that there has been a material change in the use of the premises, involving development for which planning permission is required.

/5. It is

A. J. Harry Esq.
Solicitor
5/6 Stephyns Chambers
Bank Court
Marlowes
Hemel Hempstead, Herts.

- 5. It is noted that your clients undertake to replace the unsatisfactory cladding on the prefabricated building. The Minister agrees with his Inspector's conclusions on the merits of the appeals and accepts his recommendation. Accordingly he hereby grants planning permission:-
 - (i) for the retention of the temporary storage building at the rear of Nos. 29/31 Akeman Street, Tring, subject to the condition that the building hereby authorised shall be removed and the land reinstated to its former condition on or before 31st December 1967; and
 - (ii) for the continuation of the use for the storage of teleprinter and telecommunication equipment of premises at Nos. 29, 31 and 32 Akeman Street, Tring, subject to the condition that the use hereby authorised shall be discontinued not later than 31st December 1967,

each of such permissions to operate for the benefit of Messrs. William Batey and Company (Exports) Limited and Messrs. V. Kent Enterprises Limited only.

6. This letter does not convey any approval or consent which may be required under any enactment, byelaw, order or regulation other than section 13 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1962.

I am, Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

(Signed) D. G. POMEROY

(D. G. POMEROY)
Authorised by the Minister
to sign in that behalf.

26/20

H.C.C. Code No	W/24/64
L.A. Ref. No	37/62/64

SEE NOTES OVERLEAF

ADMINISTRATIVE COUNTY OF HERTFORD

The Council of the BOXONENEXX
Urban District of TRING
RUDAKADIKAKAYAX
TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1962 To Messrs. V. Kent Enterprises Ltd., 77/80, Akeman Street, TRING, Herts.
Change of Use at 30, Akeman Street, Tring
for storage purposes.
description and location
of proposed development.
In pursuance of their delegated powers under the above-mentioned Act and the
Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, the Council on behalf
of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in
your application dated 30. 12. 63.
and received with sufficient particulars on 1.1.64. and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application.
and snewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application.
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development
are:—
1. The property is in an area which is zoned primarily for residential use on the Approved Town Map and on the Review Town Map which has been submitted to the Minister.
2. The proposed use of the property would accentuate the shortage of dwelling accommodation in the Council's area.
3. Loading and unloading would obstruct the highway.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Dated 24th day of January, 19 64.

- (1) If the applicant wishes to have an explanation of the reasons for this refusal it will be given on request and a meeting arranged if necessary.
- (2) If the Applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may by notice served within one month of receipt of this notice, appeal to the Minister of Housing and Local Government in accordance with Section 23 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1962. The Minister has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a Notice of Appeal and he will exercise his power in cases where he is satisfied that the applicant has deferred the giving of notice because negotiations with the local planning authority in regard to the proposed development are in progress. The Minister is not, however, required to entertain such an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the provision of Section 17(1), 18(1) and 38 of the Act and of the Development Order and to any directions given under the Order.
- (3) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Minister of Housing and Local Government, and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Council of the County District in which the land is situated a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with Section 129 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1962.
- (4) , In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority or the Minister of Housing and Local Government for compensation, where permission is refused, or granted subject to conditions by the Minister on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in Section 123 and Part VI of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1962.