H.C.C. Code No	W/1569/61.
L.A. Ref. No	BR/70/61.

ADMINISTRATIVE COUNTY OF HERTFORD.

The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict the development involves an additional floor to the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application contains no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable							02.0.
TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 194 To Mrs. A.M. Hall, per Messre. Sutcliffe, Taylor and Fartners, 5, Manchester Square, London, W.1. Conversion of Haresfoot House, Berkhamsted, prief into six flats. at disconnected and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and understourned of the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and understourned of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the developmed proposed by you in your application dated. Let. August, 1961, and received with sufficient particulars on. 2nd. August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XiSX	The Council of the	Boroug	H OF		_		
TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 194 To Mrs. A.M. Hall, per Messre. Sutcliffe, Taylor and Fartners, 5, Manchester Square, London, W.1. Conversion of Haresfoot House, Berkhamsted, into six flats. at Bursuante of their powers under the above-mentioned Act a the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and understand the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and understand to the Orders and Regulations of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, to Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority htreby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated let. August, 1961, and received with sufficient particulars on. 2nd. August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application of the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt.							
To Mrs. A.M. Hall, per Messrs. Sutcliffe, Taylor and Fartners, 5, Manchester Square, London, W.1. Conversion of Haresfoot House, Berkhamsted, at					•		
To Mrs. A.M. Hall, per Messrs. Sutcliffe, Taylor and Fartners, 5, Manchester Square, London, W.1. Conversion of Haresfoot House, Berkhamsted, at		_			-		
per Messrs. Sutcliffe, Taylor and Fartners, 5, Manchester Square, London, W.1. Conversion of Haresfoot House, Berkhamsted, into six flats. at	TOWN &	COU	INTRY	PLA	NNINO	G AC	T, 1947
Conversion of Haresfoot House, Berkhamsted, at into six flats. at and location of propose development in the Corders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the County of Hertford (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated let. August, 1961, and received with sufficient particulars on 2nd. August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application and solutions no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XMX	per Messrs.	. Sutcli			tners,	g it was	
at		1	,	,			Head .
at	Conversion of	of Hares	sfoot House	Berkham	sted,	j i	
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the developme are: The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XIXX	i					· 1	3.
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict tural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application and shewn on the plan (s) accompanying such application.	at					* ***	and location 2 of proposed
the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the Country or Herrrord (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated let. August, 1961, and received with sufficient particulars on 2nd, August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application contains no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XXX			•••••				development.
the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the Country or Herrrord (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated let. August, 1961, and received with sufficient particulars on 2nd, August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application contains no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XXX	76. 34	•				·····	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the developmed proposed by you in your application dated let. August, 1961, and received with sufficient particulars on 2nd August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The applicational and over the suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XMX	and lanc	uance	of their po-	wers under	r the above	e-mentio	ned Act and
Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated lst. August, 1961, and received with sufficient particulars on 2nd. August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The applicationations no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XIXX	the Orders and Re	gulations	s for the tim	e being in	force there	under, a	nd under the
proposed by you in your application dated	COUNTY OF HERT	ford (D)elegation o	f Planning	Functions) Schem	ie, 195 2, the
and received with sufficient particulars on 2nd August, 1961, and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The applicationations no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th day of May, 1962, XXX							
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The applicationalism no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XIXX	proposed by you i	in your	application	dated1	stAugust	, 1961 ,	
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The applicationatins no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XMX	and received with	sufficier	nt particular	s on	2ndAug	ust, 19	61. _V
The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application contains no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XMX	and shewn on the p	olan(s) ac	ccompanying	g such app	lication.		
The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application contains no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XMX					٠		
The proposed development involves an additional floor to the existing building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application contains no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962, XMX	The reasons fo	or the Co	ouncil's decis	ion to refus	se permissio	n for the	development
building, and would result in the addition of five new dwellings over and above the last use of the building as a single dwelling. As the existing Building falls within the proposed extension of the Metropolitan Green Belt the provision of the proposed additional dwellings would be contrary to the Local Planning Authority's policy to restrict new building development in the Green Belt to that required for agricultural purposes or for essential local community needs. The application contains no evidence to suggest that the proposed additional dwellings will comply with this policy, and it therefore represents undesirable new building development in the Green Belt. Dated 17th. day of May, 1962. XXX.					•		1
Dated 17th. day of May, 1962. xkxx. Clerk/Surveyor of the Council	building, and wor and above the last existing Building politan Green Bel would be contrary new building deve- tural purposes or contains no evide will comply with	ald result use of falls lt the position the elopment of for estimate this position.	of the build within the orovision of Local Plans in the Greenstal local suggest the clicy, and i	addition of ding as a proposed of the proposed ming Author Belt to all communat the proposed to the proposed t	of five ne single dw extension cosed addinority's p to that re sity needs	w dwell: elling. of the tional o olicy to quired : The itional	ings over As the Metro- iwellings restrict for agricul- application dwellings
Mundan : Clerk/Surveyor of the Counc	Dated	d17t	h	day of	Мау	,1 962	2xl 9 5
			Munder	, .	Clerk/Su	rveyor o	the Council.

NOTE.

- (1) If the Applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may by notice served within one month of receipt of this notice, appeal to the Minister of Housing and Local Government in accordance with Section 16 of the Town and Country Planning Act. 1947. The Minister has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a Notice of Appeal and he will exercise his power in cases where he is satisfied that the applicant has deferred the giving of notice because negotiations with the local planning authority in regard to the proposed development are in progress. The Minister is not, however, required to entertain such an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the provisions of Section 14 of the Act and of the Development Order and to any directions given under the Order.
- (2) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Minister of Housing and Local Government, and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Council of the County Borough or County District in which the land is situated a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with Section 19 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1947.
- (3) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority or the Minister of Housing and Local Government for compensation, where permission is refused, or granted subject to conditions by the Minister on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in Section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1947, and Part II of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1954.