H.C.C. Code No	¥1654/51
L.A.	5756
Ref. No	

The Council of the Borough of the Borough of the Council of the Co	AD	MINIST	RATIVE COUNT	Y OF HER	rford.
TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1947 To inc	The Counc	il of the Bo	PROUGH OF LIFE LIE	T D	
TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1947 To im		U	réan Districtión	•	
To ire is lighter, learne is contential. Learne of 30 dec?line at 2.52 allowing 1.4 already, learne and at Council of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the COUNTY OF HERTFORD (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated and received with sufficient particulars on 19th decender 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cite of the proposed development likes within the Green light there is the policy of the local it man, authority to received development to the transmitted to the area, 2. The proposed development is not can be be the	•		urkio Dirikicio di	entineense kontrege finden versen bestick fin er op der til	istriadimaskirinisterin škirinfor
To ire is lighter, learne is contential. Learne of 30 dec?line at 2.52 allowing 1.4 already, learne and at Council of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the COUNTY OF HERTFORD (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated and received with sufficient particulars on 19th decender 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cite of the proposed development likes within the Green light there is the policy of the local it man, authority to received development to the transmitted to the area, 2. The proposed development is not can be be the			 		
To ire is lighter, learne is contential. Learne of 30 dec?line at 2.52 allowing 1.4 already, learne and at Council of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the COUNTY OF HERTFORD (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated and received with sufficient particulars on 19th decender 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cite of the proposed development likes within the Green light there is the policy of the local it man, authority to received development to the transmitted to the area, 2. The proposed development is not can be be the	TOW	N & C	OUNTRY PLA	ANNING A	ACT, 1947
Brief description and location of proposed development. In Bursuatte of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority prefuse the development proposed by you in your application dated The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cite of the policy of the recent virial the Groom Local cross at the policy of the recent virial the Groom Local cross at the policy of the recent virial to the area. 2. The proposed development to the countricity to proposed development to the countricity to the to the countricit	To Exa	. A. t. MG	oleri,	Soul to S	lungs on Lorente Van
Brief description and location of proposed development. In Bursuatte of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority prefuse the development proposed by you in your application dated The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cite of the policy of the recent virial the Groom Local cross at the policy of the recent virial the Groom Local cross at the policy of the recent virial to the area. 2. The proposed development to the countricity to proposed development to the countricity to the to the countricit	n,		7	Sen le	
Brief description and location of proposed development. In Bursuatte of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority prefuse the development proposed by you in your application dated The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cite of the policy of the recent virial the Groom Local cross at the policy of the recent virial the Groom Local cross at the policy of the recent virial to the area. 2. The proposed development to the countricity to proposed development to the countricity to the to the countricit		URAL/TIG :	enel Hoopstusi.		walford.
Brief description and location of proposed development. Butsuante of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the Country of Hertford (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated. 3th Accorder 1957 and received with sufficient particulars on 19th Accorder 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The rate of the proposed development like within the Groom lost there at it the policy of the focal therein, Authority to portact covalement to the mountaint to the mountaint to the mountaint to the mountaint of the proposed development are in the proposed development to the mountaint to the proposed development are in the carrier to be concepted to the mountaint of the carrier to the mountaint to the carrier to the ca	โละหล์	Exam of 33			·
at location local, force of the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the Country of Hertford (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated the local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated the local proposed by you in your application dated the local proposed by accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cate of the proposed development lies within the Groom light there at in the policy of the recal the many function. 2. The proposed development is not considered to the constitution of the case. 3. The proposed local proposed seriously contributed to the					Brief
In Pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the COUNTY OF HERTFORD (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated. All According 1957 and received with sufficient particulars on 1951 According 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cate of the proposed development likes within the Green light there at the proposed development are that counting to the received to the proposed development to the counting to the counting of the counting to the proposed development as not counting to be consented. 2. The proposed development is not counting to be consented.			A 1 . B 1 . A 4 . A 71 . The area and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and a second a		description
In Pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the County of Hertford (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated. All Accounts 1957 and received with sufficient particulars on 1941 Accounts 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cate of the proposed development likes which the Green left there at the proposed development are the following of the local therein Authority to perfect the covologment to the account to the the green. 2. The proposed development is not concluded to be consected. 3. The proposed would green seriously confidented to the	at Line	rlanni.	"Linion Bood. Herel Co		of proposed
the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the COUNTY OF HERTFORD (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated. The received with sufficient particulars on 19th december 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cite of the proposed development lies within the Green left through at its the policy of the focal through Authority to portific accordance to that according to the creation. 2. The proposed development is not carried to be excepted. 3. The proposed could green seriously contributed to the	******************	*******			gevelopment,
the Orders and Regulations for the time being in force thereunder, and under the COUNTY OF HERTFORD (Delegation of Planning Functions) Scheme, 1952, the Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated. The received with sufficient particulars on 19th december 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cite of the proposed development lies within the Green left through at its the policy of the focal through Authority to portific accordance to that according to the creation. 2. The proposed development is not carried to be excepted. 3. The proposed could green seriously contributed to the	er e				•
Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated Council of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated Council Total and received with sufficient particulars on 19th decenter 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The rate of the proposed development lies within the Green left there at is the policy of the local Virginia Authority to received development to the council to the area. 2. The proposed development is not council to the concentral to the received to be concentral.	In	Pursua	INCE of their powers un	der the above-me	ntioned Act and
Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated 1911 According 1957 and received with sufficient particulars on 19th According 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cate of the proposed development likes within the Green left there it is the policy of the focal virginity to secretarly covalerant to that according to the mean. 2. The proposed development is not considered to be excepted to the possess of the area.	the Orders	and Regul	ations for the time being	in force thereunde	er, and under the
Council on behalf of the Local Planning Authority hereby refuse the development proposed by you in your application dated 1911 According 1957 and received with sufficient particulars on 19th According 1957 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cate of the proposed development likes within the Green left there it is the policy of the focal virginity to secretar covalerant to that according to the mean. 2. The proposed development is not considered to be excepted to the possess of the area.	COUNTY O	F HERTFOR	RD (Delegation of Plann	ing Functions) Sc	heme, 1952, the
proposed by you in your application dated Character 1757 and received with sufficient particulars on 12th decenter 1757 and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cate of the proposed development likes within the Green light there at is the policy of the recal it resing authority to restrict covalopment to that ensurable to the area. 2. The proposed development is not can have to be executed to the area. 3. The proposed touch proposed seriously corrigental to the			-		
and shewn on the plan(s) accompanying such application. The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cite of the proposed development likes within the Green left there at is the policy of the local virmin; sutherity to sect that account to that account to the area. 2. The proposed development is not concluded to the exception of the crea.			· ·		
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are: 1. The cite of the proposed development likes within the Green left there it is the policy of the focal Firmin; Authority to restrict covalement to that essential to the area. 2. The proposed development is not considered to be excepted to the position of the area.					
The reasons for the Council's decision to refuse permission for the development are:— 1. The cite of the proposed development lies within the Green light there it is the policy of the focal Virginia, Anthority to sect list covalement to that expected to be exceed. 2. The proposed development is not considered to be exceeded to the species of the exec. 3. The proposed would grove sectorary considerated to the	and receiv	ed with su	meient particulars on		A. A
1. The cite of the proposed development lies within the Green left there it is the policy of the focal Virginia, Authority to sect that covalopment to that encountial to the area. 2. The proposed development is not concluded to be excepted to the needs of the crea. 3. The proposed would prove sectionary continental to the	and shewn	on the plan	i(s) accompanying such a	pplication.	·
1. The cite of the proposed development lies within the Green left there it is the policy of the focal Virginia, Authority to sect that covalopment to that encountial to the area. 2. The proposed development is not concluded to be excepted to the needs of the crea. 3. The proposed would prove sectionary continental to the					
 The cate of the proposed development lies within the Green left there at is the policy of the focal firming authority to sect for covolopment to that encential to the area. The proposed development is not considered to be excepted to the nucles of the crea. The proposal could prove sectionary cotrimental to the 	The r	easons for th	he Council's decision to re	fuse permission fo	r the development
Delt there it is the policy of the focal firming authority to secretar covalenant to that encential to the area. 2. The proposed development is not considered to be consisted to the needs of the crea. 3. The proposal could prove sectionary continental to the	are:—			•	•
Delt there it is the policy of the focal firming authority to secretal covalement to that encential to the area. 2. The proposal development is not considered to be consisted to the needs of the crea. 3. The proposal could grove sectionary consisted to the	•	6770	a/) 42 3 Annual		An Alexa Demonstra
to sect act covologment to that encontain to the area. 2. The proposed development is not considered to be encertial to the needs of the crea. 3. The proposed could prove sectorary cotrangulate to the	7.				
2. The proposed development is not conflicted to be encertial to the nocals of the cross sectionary detrimental to the					
7. The proposal nould grove sectionally detrimental to the			·		,
9. The proposal nould grove sectionally detrimental to the	<i>i-</i> •	्रमाठ (हास्ट्राह्म सेटा होस्स स्थ	osga gaveretarue en en	Can make to	10 CHECOREL
3. The proposal could grove seriously continuated to the					
	3.	noung Coll	ough could prove serio	uoly colrimental	to the
CALLESCOPIC CONTROL CONTROL OF CONTROL OF CONTROL CONT		ا المتنافي في المتنافي و المتال	ing to the second	же скар (1 3. ческа) (146.	A for makening mande
Dated 30-til day of Jones 195 6		Dated	31-iii day	of Jenes	<u>195 📮</u>
Charles Wille				G.N.V.	1
You Clerk/Surveyed of the Conduction			$C_{\mathbf{z}}$	Clerk/Sugar	unical idligid Contacilly

- (1) If the Applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may by notice served within one month of receipt of this notice, appeal to the Minister of Housing and Local Government in accordance with Section 16 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1947. The Minister has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a Notice of Appeal and he will exercise his power in cases where he is satisfied that the applicant has deferred the giving of notice because negotiations with the local planning authority in regard to the proposed development are in progress. The Minister is not, however, required to entertain such an appeal if it appears to him that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the local planning authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by them, having regard to the provisions of Section 14 of the Act and of the Development Order and to any directions given under the Order.
- (2) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Minister of Housing and Local Government, and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the Council of the County Borough or County District in which the land is situated a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with Section 19 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1947.
- (3) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority or the Minister of Housing and Local Government for compensation, where permission is refused, or granted subject to conditions by the Minister on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in Section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1947, and Part II of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1954.